CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP

ONE LIBERTY PLAZA
NEW YORK, NY 10006-1470
(212) 225-2000
FACSIMILE (212) 225-3999

WWW.CLEARYGOTTLIEB.COM

WASHINGTON, DC • PARIS • BRUSSELS • LONDON • MOSCOW FRANKFURT • COLOGNE • ROME • MILAN • HONG KONG BEIJING • BUENOS AIRES • SÃO PAULO • ABU DHABI • SEOUL

> Writer's Direct Dial: +1 (212) 225-2490 E-Mail: jblackman@cgsh.com

LAURENT ALPERT
VICTOR I LEWKOW

INCESSIEN SILVERMAN
ROBERT L. TORTORIELO
LEE C. BUCHHEIT
JAMES M. PEASLEE
ALAN L. BELLONE
JONATHAN I. BLACKMAN
JONATHAN I. BLACKMAN
JONATHAN I. BLACKMAN
ROBERT P. DAWIS
YARON Z. REICH
RICHARD S. LINCER
STEVEN G. HOROWITZ
JAMES A. DUNCAN
STEVEN M. LOEB
CRAIG B. BROD
WITCHELL A. LOWENTHAL
MITCHELL A. LOWENTHAL
LAWRENCE D. TRILDMAN
NICOLAS GRABAR
CHRISTOPHER E. AUSTIN
SETH GROSSHANDLER
WILLIAM A. GROLL
HOWARD S. ZELGO
DAVID E. BRODSKY
WILLIAM A. GROLL
HOWARD S. ZELGO
DAVID E. BRODSKY
MICHAEL A. LEWIS
PAUL J. SHIM
STEVEN L. WILLIER
ERIKA W. NIJENHUIS
LINDSEE P. GRANFIELD
ANDES DE LA CRUZ
DAVID C. CEPRALES
JAMES L. BROMLEY
JAMES L. BROMLEY

MICHAEL A. GERSTENZANG
LEWIS J. LIMAN
LEV L. DASSIN
NEIL Q. WHORISKEY
JORGE U. JUANTORENA
MICHAEL D. WEINBERGER
DAVID LEINWAND
JEFFREY A. WEINBERGER
MICHAEL D. DAVAN
CARMINE D. BOCCUZZI, JR
JEFFREY D. BAYAMOND
LEONARD C. JACOBY
SANDRA L. FLORE
KIMBERLY BROWN BLACKLOW
ROBERT J. RAYMOND
LEONARD C. JACOBY
SANDRA L. FLOSTERO
PRANCESCA L. GOELL
WILLIAM L. MCTAEL
JASON FACTOR
JUAN G. GRANDELL
LISA M. SCHWEITZER
JUAN G. GRANDELL
BREON S. PEROLLE
CHANTAL E. KORDULA
BERCH L. FORELLY
DAVID AMAN
ADAM E. FLEISHER
SEAN A. ONEAL
GLENN P. MGRORY
MAITHEW P. SALERNO
MICHAEL J. LIBANO
MICHAEL J. ALBANO
MICHAEL J. ALB

JENNIFER KENNEDY PARK
ELIZABETH LENAS
LIKE A BAREFOOT
PAMELA L MARCOGLIESE
PAMELA L MARCOGLIESE
JONATHAN S. KOLLONDER
RESIDENT PARTNERS
SANDRA M. ROCKS
S. DOUGLAS BORISKY
JUDITH KASSEL
PENELOPE L CHRISTOPHORG
BOAZ S. MORAG

S. DOUGLAS BORISKY
JUDITH KASEB
PENELOPE L. CHRISTOPHORG
BOAZ S. MORAG
MARY E. ALCOCK
DAVID H. HERRINGTON
HEIDE H. ILGENFRITZ
HUGH C. CONROY, JR.
JAMES D. SMALL
AVRAM E. LUFT
DANIEL ILAT
AVRAM E. LUFT
DANIEL ILAT
ANDREW WEAVER
HELENA K. GRANNIS
GRANT M. BINDER
GRANT M. BINDER
JOHN V. HARRISON
CARCLINE F. HAYDON
RESIDENT COUNSEL

OF COUNSEL

September 16, 2015

VIA ECF

Hon. Andrew J. Peck, U.S.M.J. Southern District of New York Daniel Patrick Moynihan Courthouse 500 Pearl Street, Courtroom 20D New York, New York 10007

Re: Rio Tinto plc v. Vale S.A., et al., Civil Action No. 14-cv-3042 (RMB) (AJP) (S.D.N.Y.)

Dear Judge Peck:

We write in response to Rio Tinto's letter of today's date (Dkt. No. 348) regarding the privilege issue.

- 1. As we have pointed out in earlier correspondence repeatedly, Rio Tinto's suggestion that it has only disclaimed privilege for pre-April 30, 2010 communications with the UK investigators is made of whole cloth. There is nothing in its statements to the Court that we have previously quoted, nor in the Court's previous ruling, that supports this arbitrary limitation.
- 2. Whether there is a "handful" of communications between its counsel and the investigators "in the course of the evaluating a potential lawsuit within limitations" or not (and we do not know how many there are, because they have not all been logged yet), Rio Tinto's disclaimer again does not contain any limitation with regard to "evaluating a potential lawsuit," as opposed to investigating the facts relevant to such a lawsuit. Moreover, the investigators have submitted sworn statements to the High Court in the proceedings regarding this Court's Letters of Request, specifically *denying* that they had been retained for purposes of litigation. *See, e.g.*, Tr. 149:17-151:2 ("Rio Tinto did not retain ARC for litigation but to provide investigative reports."). The only way to get to the bottom of this is to be able to ask the witnesses questions about what they were retained to do, what they in fact did, and what their communications with Rio Tinto regarding this work were, which again Rio Tinto has previously denied even involved

Hon. Andrew J. Peck, p. 2

its counsel. *See* Jan. 13, 2015 Tr. 51:11-15 ("The law firms did not run that [investigation]. They were independent people.").

This playing fast and loose with the facts and Rio Tinto's own statements to the Court is deplorable. The witness examinations in London should go forward pursuant to the Order this Court previously entered on the question of privilege. For our part, we agree that we will assert no subject matter waiver on the basis of any answers the witnesses give to questions regarding their communications with Rio Tinto prior to the filing of the complaint in this action, but Rio Tinto's efforts to impede these examinations with baseless privilege assertions at this late date should not be accepted.

Respectfully submitted,

Jonathan I. Blackman

cc: All counsel of record